

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/621,193	Applicant(s) KRAMER ET AL.	
	Examiner Timothy V. Eley	Art Unit 3724	

All Participants:

(1) Timothy V. Eley.

(2) Aaron Poledna.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 31 October 2006

Time: 2:00PM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

The 35 U.S.C. 103 rejection under Cook et al.

Claims discussed:

79-84

Prior art documents discussed:

Cook et al


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)	_____ (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)
--	--

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was agreed that claims 79-84, if amended to specifically define the order of the steps would define over Cook et al. However, it was also agreed that any amendments to claims 79-84 would result in additional consideration and/or search, and therefore claims 79-84 would be canceled by examiner's amendment and possibly filed in a divisional application..